

\*\*\*\*\*JULY 1957\*\*\*\*\*  
BATON ROUGE UFO BULLETIN

SPONSORED BY RECREATION & PARK COMMISSION

I HOPE MEMBERS OF OUR FORUM RECEIVING THE BULLETIN WILL FORGIVE SOME OF MY APPARENT DIGRESSIONS INTO FIELDS THAT DO NOT ALWAYS LINK DIRECTLY WITH UFO PHENOMENA. I SAY "APPARENT" BECAUSE IT IS MY OWN OPINION THAT THERE IS HARDLY A SCIENCE WORTHY OF THE NAME THAT DOES NOT IN SOME MANNER, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY COME RIGHT BACK HOME AND LATCH ON TIGHTLY TO THE SUBJECT NEAREST OUR AVOWED INTEREST. AS I SAID ONCE BEFORE, STUDY OF THE UFO PHENOMENA IS NOT CONFINED TO THE OBSERVANCE OF SHINY PLATE-LIKE OBJECTS THAT DART THROUGH OUR ATMOSPHERE AND PERFORM BEWILDERING MANEUVERS. IT SHOULD TAKE BUT LITTLE THOUGHT ON THE SUBJECT TO REALIZE THAT ANY PEOPLE OR THING WHO CAN DESIGN AND MANUFACTURE SUCH A VEHICLE MUST BE POSSESSED OF SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE SO VAST THAT WE CAN ONLY GUESS AT ITS SCOPE. IT FOLLOWS LIKE NIGHT FOLLOWS DAY THAT ANY PEOPLE OR INTELLIGENCE SO FAR ADVANCED DID NOT ADVANCE MERELY IN THE FIELD OF ANTI-GRAVITY, PROPULSION AND AERODYNAMICS. IT IS CERTAIN THAT THEIR GRASP OF THE PHYSICAL UNIVERSE IS IMMENSELY SUPERIOR TO OURS IN ANY FACET IT POSSESSED. THEREFORE ANY DISCUSSION OF ANY SCIENCE WITH WHICH WE ARE CONVERSANT INEVITABLY LEADS US INTO FIELDS THEY MUST HAVE TRODDEN LONG BEFORE US. IT IS NOT LIKELY THAT WE OF THE UFO FORUM WILL DESIGN OR FLY A GRAVIDOME (THIS IS THE TERM USED ON THE PLANET HADRON FOR THEIR MARVELOUS FLYING MACHINES) BUT THIS IS NO REASON WHY WE SHOULD NOT, TO THE LIMIT OF OUR TALENTS, TRY TO CONCEIVE OF WHERE WE MUST GO IN ORDER TO DO SO. I THINK THAT WE SHOULD FORM THE HARD CORE OF MENTAL ATTITUDE THAT CAN ACCEPT THE FACT THAT SOME DAY WE MAY HAVE VISITORS FROM ANOTHER WORLD. THE MAN ON THE STREET HAS NO SUCH ATTITUDE AT THE PRESENT. IF HE SAW A SAUCER, AS CAPTAIN RUPPELT WISHED TO SEE, "FLYING LOW AND SLOW" IT WOULD FRIGHTEN HIM OUT OF HIS WITS. I SERIOUSLY DOUBT IF ANY OF US WOULD VIEW SUCH A SIGHT WITHOUT CONSIDERABLE EXCITEMENT AND MAYBE SOME UNEASY INNER TWITCHES AND JERKS BUT I DOUBT EVEN MORE SERIOUSLY IF ANY OF US WOULD FUNK IT AND RUN SCREAMING OFF A PRECIPICE. WE ARE, BY NOW, CONDITIONED TO AND CONVINCED THAT, AT LEAST, THERE IS A STRONG POSSIBILITY THAT WE ARE THE OBJECT OF STUDY BY ANOTHER INTELLIGENCE. PRESENT THE QUESTION TO THE FIRST TEN PEOPLE YOU SEE WHO HAVE DONE LITTLE OR NO THINKING ON THE SUBJECT AND WATCH THEIR REACTIONS. THE FIRST AND PROBABLY MOST IMPORTANT REACTION ONE SEES UNDER THE STATED CIRCUMSTANCE IS A STRONG DESIRE, NO MATTER WHAT EVIDENCE IS PRESENTED, NOT TO BELIEVE. THEY RESENT HAVING TO BELIEVE OR TO BE PUT IN A SPOT WHERE DISBELIEF MAKES THEM SEEM JUST A SHADE SILLY. THIS IS AN ATTITUDE THAT SHOWS UP ON ANY NUMBER OF OCCASIONS WHERE ANYTHING VERY NEW OR VERY RADICAL IS PROPOSED OR DISCOVERED. WE, OF ALL THE CREATURES OF NATURE HAVE THE POWER TO SLOW DOWN OR SPEED UP CHANGE AND KNOWLEDGE. WE ARE ALSO THE ONLY CREATURE OF NATURE WHICH ACTIVELY OBJECTS TO CHANGE, AND WHO WILL THROTTLE KNOWLEDGE IF IT GETS TOO FAR OUT OF LINE...TOO FAR FROM TIME HONORED CONCEPT.

\*\*\*\*\*

CLAYTON RAND DEVOTED A COLUMN TO THE SUBJECT IN THE MORNING ADVOCATE (6-28-57) WHEN HE MENTIONED THE BOOK BY WILLIAM SARGANT "BATTLE FOR THE MIND" DOUBLEDAY.

\*\*\*\*\*

SAYS RAND ABOUT THE BOOK..."PROMISES TO BE MUCH DISCUSSED FOR THE AUTHOR UNDERTAKES TO SHOW HOW EVANGELISTS, PSYCHIATRISTS, POLITICIANS AND MEDICINE MEN CHANGE OUR BELIEFS AND BEHAVIOR.

\*\*\*\*\*

"FROM THE REVIEWS, THE AUTHOR SEEMS TO HAVE FOUND A PARALLEL BETWEEN THE THEORIES OF DOGS AS EXPOUNDED BY PAVLOV AND THE PREACHINGS OF JOHN WESLEY TWO HUNDRED YEARS LATER - THE DOG'S NORMAL REFLEXES IN THE FACE OF DANGER AND THE FEAR OF THE LOST ON THEIR WAY TO HELL. HE INCLUDES IN HIS STUDY, REFERENCES TO GEORGE ORWELL'S "1984" BILLY GRAHAM, THE DANCING MANIA OF THE MIDDLE AGES ELVIS PRESLEY, RASPUTIN AND THE SOVIET PURGE TRIALS.

\*\*\*\*\*

"THE RUSSIAN PAVLOV FED HIS DOGS ONLY AFTER RINGING A BELL AND HAD THEM SO COMPLETELY BRAINWASHED THAT A RINGING BELL HAD THE SAME EFFECT AS THE SMELL AND SIGHT OF FOOD. IT WOULD BE INTERESTING TO KNOW HOW FAR THE BATTLE FOR MEN'S MINDS IS BEING WON TODAY BY SOMEBODY RINGING THE BELL OR SHOOTING THE BULL." END OF QUOTE.

\*\*\*\*\*

CONDITIONED REFLEX. IT IS WITH US AND IT, TO A GREAT DEGREE HAS US IN ITS TOILS. THEREFORE, WE SHOULD BEND EVERY EFFORT TO SEE THAT WE SHUT OUR EARS TO THE SONGS OF THE SIRENS. A GOOD MANY PEOPLE CONNECTED WITH THE STUDY OF UFOs SING A PRETTY ATTRACTIVE SONG THEMSELVES...AND LOUD. THE UNWARY FLOCK TO HEAR THESE SONGS SUNG ABOUT TRIPS TO VENUS AND THE HAM AND EGG APPETITES OF THE OCCUPANTS OF FLYING SAUCERS. FORTUNATELY, OUR GROUP NEITHER SING SUCH SONGS NOR DO THEY PAY THEM A GREAT DEAL OF ATTENTION.

\*\*\*\*\*

WILLIAM FAULKNER SAID NOT LONG AGO THAT ONE OF THE GREATEST TASKS FACING MEN OF INTELLIGENCE IS THE EVER INCREASING PRESSURE TO MAKE HIM A PART OF THE FACELESS MASS. THE IMMENSE POWER THAT IS NOW EXERTED BY NUMEROUS FORCES TO CRUSH EVERYONE THROUGH THE SAME TINY DUCT IS ONE OF THE GREAT PITFALLS THAT MUST BE WATCHED. NOTHING CAN COME OUT OF THIS DUCT BUT MEDIOCRITY. SUCH GENIUS AS MIGHT EXIST SIMPLY DISAPPEARS BY A PROCESS OF DILUTION. MASS THOUGHT IN ESSENCE IS A PRETTY GOOD MURAL OF MASS ANYTHING. DR. ALBERT EDWARD WIGGAM IN SEVERAL OF HIS EXCELLENT BOOKS ON THE SCIENCES LIKES TO POSTULATE THAT THE VERY FACT THAT SOME TENET, IS SUBSCRIBED TO BY A GREAT MASS OF PEOPLE IS, THE BEST PROOF POSSIBLE THAT IT IS FALSE. ONE OF HIS PLAINTS IS THAT THE MASS MIND IS EVER READY TO EMBRACE A STUDY OR SUGGESTION JUST SO LONG AS IT WAS SUFFICIENTLY INCREDIBLE, AT THE SAME TIME BOWING THEIR NECKS AT SOME SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY AND REFUSING TO ACCEPT IT SIMPLY BECAUSE IN ORDER TO DO SO THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN REQUIRED TO THINK...AND QUOTING WIGGAM AGAIN: "IT IS SO MUCH EASIER TO HAVE AN OPINION THAN IT IS TO THINK". POSE IN YOUR MIND SOME ABSTRUSE QUESTION, PREFERABLY ONE THAT IS AT THE PRESENT UNANSWERABLE, PRESENT IT TO THE NEXT TWENTY PEOPLE YOU SEE. VERY LIKELY YOU WILL GET OPINIONS ON THE SUBJECT FROM ALL OF THEM. IT IS UNLIKELY THAT ANY OF THESE OPINIONS WILL AGREE. MAYBE....JUST MAYBE YOU'LL FIND SOME THOUGHTFUL SOUL WHO'LL DEMURR AND WANT SOME TIME TO THINK IT OVER OR POSSIBLY DO A LITTLE RESEARCH ON THE SUBJECT IF IT HAPPENS TO PIQUE HIS INTEREST OR CURIOSITY. IF YOU GET TO KNOW THIS MAN WELL YOU'LL PROBABLY FIND THAT HE CAN THINK. HE'S WILLING TO THINK. IT DOESN'T PRESENT A DEMORALIZING THREAT. IN FACT, HE MIGHT EVEN GET A CHARGE OUT OF THINKING. HE MIGHT PREFER TO KNOW RATHER THAN BE STUPIDLY COMFORTABLE IN WHAT HE HOPES. SUCH COMFORT IS ANESTHETIC AND I DOUBT THAT IT EVER PRODUCED ANYTHING BUT ANESTHETIC COMFORT. TO ME SUCH COMFORT IS FRIGHTENING BECAUSE THERE IS SO MUCH OF IT. GEORGE BERNARD SHAW WAS ONCE ASKED IF HE WAS HAPPY AND HE ANSWERED TO THE EFFECT THAT IF HE WAS HE'D IMMEDIATELY BEGIN SOME PROGRAM DESIGNED TO DESTROY IT BECAUSE TO HIM IT PRESENTED A TRAP THAT COULD PRODUCE NOTHING BUT INTELLECTUAL STASIS. COLUMBUS WOULD PROBABLY BEEN PHYSICALLY HAPPIER TO SIT IN SOME GENOESE GROG SHOP, DRINK GOOD SPANISH WINE AND PINCH THE BARMAID. IT'S PROBABLY A GOOD THING THAT HE PREFERRED THE HARDSHIPS AND DISCOMFORTS OF A SEA VOYAGE THAT, AS FAR AS THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE TIMES WAS CONCERNED, WAS CERTAIN TO END IN DISASTER. THE SAME MIGHT BE SAID FOR SUCH DOUGHTY AND RESTLESS WARRIORS AS VESPUCCI, DEGAMA, COOK, MAGELLAN, DESOTO, PEARY, BYRD, AMUNDSEN, M. & MLE. CURIE, LISTER, HIPPOCRATES, GALILEO, HARVEY CUSHING, WM. OSLER, SIGMUND FREUD, AND A FEW THOUSAND OTHERS. NOTE HERE THAT IN ALL HISTORY THEY COULD HARDLY BE CONSIDERED AS BEING A MASS. ONE MAN HERE, ONE MAN THERE...ALL THROUGH THE AGES FROM LIEF ERICSSON TO DR. SALK! ENGLAND HAD NELSON. FRANCE HAD NO ONE THAT COULD MATCH HIS STATUE. MAYBE ENGLAND HAD MORE THAN ONE NELSON AT ANY ONE TIME BUT THIS IS CONJECTURE. ENGLAND HAD A CHURCHILL BUT IT TOOK A LOT OF DIGGING AND DISCARDING BEFORE THEY DISCOVERED WHAT THEY HAD HAD ALL THE TIME. IT IS IN TIMES OF STRESS THAT THE MAN OF QUALITY SHINES. WHEN THE ANESTHESIA OF HAPPINESS AND A SECURE PEACE TAKES OVER HE HAS A HARD TIME MAKING HIMSELF HEARD. HAPPINESS IS ALL VERY WELL TO ANESTHETIZE THE MASS THAT OTHERWISE MIGHT GO BESERK AND BURN DOWN THE EDIFICES BUILT BY THEIR BETTERS. HOWEVER, WHEN TEMPERs DYE AND THE FLAMES BURN LOWER AND THEY LOOK ABOUT THEM AT WHAT THEY'VE WROUGHT THEM AND ONLY THEN DO THEY BECOME CALM ENOUGH TO REALIZE THAT THEY NEED LEADERSHIP AND BEGIN LOOKING FOR A LEADER. IT MIGHT BE OBSERVED THAT RARELY DO THEY PICK THE ONE WHO LED THEM INTO THE INITIAL INDECRETION AND



OFTEN, AS IN THE FRENCH REVOLUTION, THE LEADERS THEMSELVES ARE RENT. IT IS ALL WELL ENOUGH TO BREACH THE DAM BUT WHO THEN, WILL BACK UP THE FLOOD-TIDE.

\*\*\*\*\*

THE MAN TO WATCH IS NOT HE WHO TELLS YOU WHAT TO THINK BUT WHO TELLS YOU WHAT NOT TO THINK. THE FIRST ONE MIGHT THINK TO ORDER THE DIRECTION OF YOUR THOUGHTS BUT HE CAN DO NOTHING ABOUT THE BYPATHS YOU MAY DISCOVER. THE SECOND WANTS TO DENY YOU THE BYPATHS AND EXPLORATION.

\*\*\*\*\*

JAMES HARVEY ROBINSON HAS MUCH GOOD THINKING ON THE SUBJECT IN HIS BOOK, "THE MIND IN THE MAKING". IT IS NOT A NEW BOOK AND YOU MIGHT HAVE TROUBLE LOCATING IT. THE LIBRARIES MIGHT STILL HAVE IT. BEST OF ALL ROBINSON HAS NO "MESSAGE". HE SHOVES YOU ON THE ROAD TO THOUGHT BUT HE DOES NOT TELL YOU WHAT NOT TO THINK. TO A CERTAIN TYPE OF MIND DENYING IT ACCESS TO SOMETHING IS A PRODIGIOUS CHALLENGE AND SEARCH IT WILL. ESPOUSING CHALLENGES AND FOLLOWING THEM IS, ANY NUMBER OF TIMES, FRAUGHT WITH DISCOMFORT BUT HE WHO REACTS TO A CHALLENGE KNOWS THIS AND TO HIM IT IS A PASSING THING COMPARED TO THE TREASURES OF THE SEARCH.

\*\*\*\*\*

ANY OF THE MANY BOOKS BY ANDREW EDWARD WIGGAM, D. SC., ARE GOOD READING PARTICULARLY FOR THE MATURING MIND THAT FINDS ITSELF CONFUSED AND RATHER AT SEA. THEY PROVIDE TOOLS AND DIRECTION BUT NEVER ONCE ATTEMPTING TO STOP A THOUGHT PROCESS UNLESS IT IS TO EXPOSE HUMMERY AND CHARLATANISM AND INTELLECTUAL CHICANERY. SOME OF THE TITLES OF HIS BOOKS ARE "THE NEW DECALOGUE OF SCIENCE", "THE MARKS OF A CLEAR MIND", "FRUIT OF THE FAMILY TREE", "THE MARKS OF AN EDUCATED MAN", "EXPLORING YOUR MIND".

\*\*\*\*\*

BEING AN UNRECONSTRUCTED MAVERICK MYSELF I AM ALWAYS DELIGHTED TO SEE AND HEAR OF THE DOINGS OF ANOTHER. THIS TIME IT IS PSYCHOLOGIST CARL RANSOM ROGERS, AS REPORTED IN "TIME MAGAZINE", 7-1-57. HE HOLDS WITH THE SCHOOL OF THOUGHT THAT THINKS THE TALK-IT-OUT SYSTEM OF RELIEVING CERTAIN STATES OF EMOTIONAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL INSTABILITY. AS FAR AS THIS THERAPY GOES I THINK ITS VALUE HAS BEEN CONCLUSIVELY ESTABLISHED. SAYS PSYCHOLOGIST ROGERS RE HIS METHODS, "WE SEE THERAPY AS AN EXPERIENCE, NOT IN INTELLECTUAL TERMS". A MAN IMPROVED BY DR. ROGERS METHODS WOULD PROBABLY NOT QUIBBLE OVER THE POINT. HE CONTINUES: "WE TREAT THE CLIENT (NOTE THE USE OF THE WORD CLIENT, AS OPPOSED TO PATIENT. THIS COOKIE IS NO JERK. IF HE USED PATIENT HE'D HAVE EVERY MEDICINE MAN IN THE COUNTRY, WHO COULD PRESCRIBE OPIUM, DOWN ON HIS NECK, AS A PERSON NOT AS AN OBJECT TO BE MANIPULATED AND DIRECTED". THE SPINE TWISTERS WILL NOT TAKE KINDLY TO DR. ROGERS USE OF THE WORD MANIPULATED BUT I DON'T SUPPOSE HE CAN WATCH EVERY CORNER. MAYBE IN THIS CASE HE DOESN'T CARE. IT WAS INEVITABLE, I SUPPOSE THAT SOME PSYCHOANALYST WOULD START SWELLING AND BLOWING. ONE DID.

QUOTING "TIME" AGAIN, "SNORTS A CHICAGO PSYCHOANALYST OF NEO-FREUDIAN PERSUASION, 'ROGERS' METHOD IS UNSYSTEMATIC, UNDISCIPLINED, AND HUMANISTIC. ROGERS DOESN'T ANALYZE AND DOESN'T DIAGNOSE. WE HAVE NO COMMON GROUND."

\*\*\*\*\*

ROGERS, APPARENTLY, COULDN'T CARE LESS. THE TEST, OF ROGERS' METHODS, LIKE THE TEST FOR MANY ANOTHER METHOD IS, DOES IT WORK? APPARENTLY IT DOES. AS FOR THE ACCUSATION THAT IT IS HUMANISTIC...I SHOULD HOPE SO... ESPECIALLY SINCE IT IS DESIGNED TO HELP HUMANS. AS FOR IT BEING UNSYSTEMATIC AND UNDISCIPLINED...WELL, I SHOULD THINK THAT AN EDUCATED PSYCHOANALYST WOULD MANAGE TO HAVE SUCH DISCIPLINE IN HIS METHODS AS THEY SHOULD HAVE, VERY LIKELY AS MUCH OR MORE THAN SAID FREUDIAN HAS IN HIS. SYSTEM IS SOMETHING THAT COMES OF ITSELF BY FIRST INTENT AND IF ROGERS' METHODS LACK SYSTEM IT IS ENTIRELY POSSIBLE THAT HE HAS FOUND THAT SINCE HUMAN FRAILTY IS SOMEWHAT LACKING IN SYSTEM, TO HAVE IT JUST FOR ITSELF WOULD BE LIKE OUR NEO-FREUDIAN'S "ANALYSIS" WHICH MUST BE DONE NO MATTER WHAT. NOT EVEN, NO MATTER WHAT IS DISCOVERED OR WHAT IS GAINED. NO ONE,

I DISCOVERED, HAS CHARGED DR. ROGERS OF BEING UNSCIENTIFIC. NEITHER, JUST TO BE FAIR I SUPPOSE, DID ANYONE ACCUSE THE ANALYST IN HIS METHODS OF BEING SCIENTIFIC.

\*\*\*\*\*

QUOTING "TIME" FURTHER; "WHILE GIVING CREDIT TO FREUD AS A PIONEER, ROGERS VIGOROUSLY RESISTS THE TENDENCY OF ANALYSTS TO WORSHIP THE FATHER-FIGURE OF PSYCHOANALYSIS AND THE PARALLEL TENDENCY TO PUT THE THEORY AND THE METHOD OF TREATMENT AHEAD OF ALL ELSE, SO THAT EVERY PATIENT IS FITTED WITH A PROCRUSTEAN COUCH.

\*\*\*\*\*

"ROGERS MAY HAVE EXAGGERATED THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN HIS METHOD AND THAT OF OTHER THERAPISTS WHO FOLLOW FREUD BUT WITH MODIFICATIONS. RADICAL ROGERS LIKES TO TALK ABOUT, "TREATMENT WITH NO COUCHES, NO DREAM INTERPRETATION."

\*\*\*\*\*

THE ANALYSTS SEEM TO BE A GROUP OF SCARCELY SCIENTIFIC PRACTITIONERS WHO RESENT INCROACHMENT BY SCIENTIFIC MINDED FOLLOWERS OF CLEANER MORE RATIONAL METHODS SIMPLY BECAUSE THEY HAVE ALREADY FOUND OUT ALL THE ANSWERS TO THEIR SATISFACTION, HAVE CONSTRUCTED THEIR SLIDE RULES, ERECTED A FINE EDIFICE OF MUMBO-JUMBO, PSEUDO SCIENTIFIC JARGON WITH WHICH THEY INTIMIDATE ONE ANOTHER AND COMPLETELY BAFFLE THE LAYMAN. NOMENCLATURE OR TERMINOLOGY DOES NOT HAVE TO OBFUSCATE UNLESS THOSE WHO USE IT, ARE THEMSELVES, UNSURE OF WHAT THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT.

\*\*\*\*\*

ROGERS UTILIZES FREE ASSOCIATION, ENCOURAGING HIS CLIENTS TO DISCUSS ANYTHING AT WILL BUT HE DIFFERS WITH THE FREUDIAN METHOD IN THAT GREAT STRESS IS LAID UPON THESE NEGATIVES. ROGERS METHOD: 1. THERE IS NO ATTEMPT TO DREDGE FOR HARROWING EMOTIONAL EXPERIENCES IN CHILDHOOD. 2. NO EFFORT IS MADE TO SEEK CAUSE AND EFFECT RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN PAST EXPERIENCES AND PRESENT DIFFICULTIES. ROGERS ATTEMPTS TO FEEL THE CLIENT'S ANGER, FEAR AND CONFUSION AS THOUGH IT WERE HIS OWN AND YET HE IS CAREFUL TO NEVER ALLOW HIS OWN ANGER, FEARS AND CONFUSIONS TO CREEP INTO THE RELATIONSHIP. WHEN THIS IS DONE ROGERS TRIES TO INTERPRET WHAT HE HAS FOUND, INTELLIGENTLY WITH THE CLIENT. THERE IS NO ATTEMPT AT ACTUAL GUIDANCE, THE OBJECT BEING TO ENABLE THE CLIENT TO GAIN GREATER INSIGHT THEREFORE FINDING IT EASIER TO ACCEPT EXPERIENCES AS THEY ARE.

\*\*\*\*\*

NOW, AS THE QUESTION WAS ASKED EARLIER, DOES IT WORK? ROGERS HAS AN ELABORATE SYSTEM OF FOLLOW UP ON HIS CASES AS WELL AS INDEPENDENT APPRAISAL. NOW THAT INDEPENDENT APPRAISAL DEAL IS BRAVERY OF THE GREATEST. ROGERS SUSPECTS THAT HIS OWN ATTEMPTS MIGHT JUST BE A BIT BIASED IN SPITE OF HONEST EFFORTS TO BE OBJECTIVE, SO HE GETS OTHER APPRAISALS OF THE EFFICACY OF HIS METHOD. RESULT: OUT OF TEN PATIENTS TWO GET NO BETTER, TWO MODERATELY IMPROVED, SIX DEFINITELY IMPROVED. RECOGNITION: "LAST WEEK THE CLIENT-CENTERED METHOD WON NOTABLE NEW GROUND. THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN NAMED ROGERS TO BE PROFESSOR OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PSYCHIATRY, GIVING HIM GREATLY EXPANDED OPPORTUNITIES TO SPREAD THE GOSPEL OF PERSON-TO-PERSON THERAPY.

\*\*\*\*\*

SOME QUOTES FROM JAMES HARVEY ROBINSON'S BOOK, "THE MIND IN THE MAKING" (HARPER BROS.) EDUCATION: "IT IS HIGHLY TRADITIONAL AND RETROSPECTIVE IN THE MAIN, CONCERNED WITH ANCIENT TONGUES, OLD AND REVERED BOOKS, HIGHER MATHEMATICS, SOMEWHAT ARCHAIC PHILOSOPHY AND HISTORY AND THE FRUITLESS FORM OF LOGIC WHICH HAS, UNTIL RECENTLY BEEN PRIZED AS MAN'S BEST GUIDE IN THE FASTNESS OF ERROR".

\*\*\*\*\*

ANOTHER: "SO IN COURSES OF GOVERNMENT, POLITICAL ECONOMY, SOCIOLOGY AND ETHICS CONFINE THEMSELVES TO INOFFENSIVE GENERALIZATIONS, HARMLESS DETAILS OF ORGANIZATION AND THE COMMONPLACES OF ROUTINE MORALITY,

FOR ONLY IN THAT WAY CAN THEY ESCAPE BEING CONTROVERSIAL...EVEN IF THEY (THE TEACHERS) ARE TEMPTED TO TELL THE ESSENTIAL FACTS THEY DARE NOT DO SO, FOR FEAR OF LOSING THEIR PLACES AND THE APPLAUSE OF ALL THE "RIGHT MINDED".

\*\*\*\*\*

RATIONALIZATION: "RATIONALIZATION IS THE SELF-EXCULPATION WHICH OCCURS WHEN WE FEEL OURSELVES OR OUR GROUP, ACCUSED OF MISAPPREHENSION OR ERROR."

\*\*\*\*\*

CREATIVE THOUGHT: "THERE HAVE BEEN OBSTRUCTIONISTS IN ALL TIMES, NOT MERELY THE LETHARGIC MASSES, BUT THE MORALISTS, THE RATIONALIZING THEOLOGIANS, AND MOST OF THE PHILOSOPHERS, ALL BUSILY IF UNCONSCIOUSLY ENGAGED IN RATIFYING EXISTING IGNORANCE AND MISTAKES AND DISCOURAGING CREATIVE THOUGHT".

\*\*\*\*\*

MORE: "WE VERY RARELY CONSIDER, THE PROCESS BY WHICH WE REACH OUR CONVICTIONS." MISCELLANEOUS: "ONE MAY PROPERLY URGE THAT AS SHARP A DISTINCTION AS POSSIBLE BE MADE BETWEEN FICTITIOUS MYSTERIES AND UNAVOIDABLE ONES WHICH SURROUND US ON ALL SIDES".

\*\*\*\*\*

"ONCE I WAS AFRAID THAT MEN MIGHT THINK TOO MUCH; NOW I ONLY DREAD THAT THEY WILL THINK TOO LITTLE AND FAR TOO TIMIDLY, FOR I NOW SEE THAT REAL THINKING IS RARE AND DIFFICULT AND THAT IT NEEDS EVERY INCENTIVE IN THE FACE OF INNUMERABLE ANCIENT AND INHERENT DISCOURAGEMENTS AND IMPEDIMENTS."

ROBINSON QUOTING LIPPMAN: "THE ICONOCLASTS DID NOT FREE US. THEY THREW US INTO THE WATER AND NOW WE HAVE TO SWIM".

QUOTING H. G. WELLS: "HISTORY IS A RACE BETWEEN EDUCATION AND CATASTROPHE".

\*\*\*\*\*

READING THE SECOND ISSUE TO COME TO MY ATTENTION OF J. ESCOBAR FARIA'S EXCELLENT LITTLE BULLETIN ENTITLED "UFO CRITICAL BULLETIN" MAY 1957, I AM REMINDED OF THE REACTION I HAD WHEN I READ THE FIRST. FARIA UNDOUBTEDLY STRIVES MIGHT AND MAIN TO REMAIN OBJECTIVE AMONG A RASH OF TRASH PUBLISHED BY SOME OF OUR LESS ETHICAL COLLEAGUES AND I WAS IMPRESSED BY HIS EFFORTS BUT I SUPPOSE "THE BEST LAID PLANS OF MICE AND MEN GANG OFT AGLEY". ON THE LAST PAGE ONE SEES AN ACCOUNT OF A FRENCH SCIENTIST WHO HAS DISCOVERED THAT CONTAMINATED FISH ARE RUINING THE HEALTH OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDERS, THE MARSHALLS, TAHITI AND THE MARQUESAS. THE FISH ARE, HE STATES CONTAMINATED BY RADIO-ACTIVE MATERIALS. WE ARE LEFT TO ASSUME THAT SUCH CONTAMINATION WAS INITIATED BY THE BIKINI EXPLOSIONS. MR. FARIA APPARENTLY BELIEVES THIS REPORT BUT HE DOES NOT QUOTE ANY SUPPORT FOR THIS RATHER AMAZING PRONOUNCEMENT BY THE FRENCH SCIENTIST. I SHOULD THINK THAT HE WOULD BE, ON THE STRENGTH OF AN UNSUPPORTED REPORT, RATHER SKEPTICAL SINCE THE HEADING OF HIS BULLETIN ONE SEES THE STATEMENT THAT "NOT TO BE SENSATIONALIST. NOT TO BELIEVE IN RUMORS... TO SEARCH WITH A TECHNICAL MIND".

\*\*\*\*\*

PURELY ON THE BASIS OF WHAT HE PUBLISHED REGARDING THE MATTER I COULD HARDLY CREDIT HIM WITH USING THE EXCELLENT CODE WHEN HE WROTE UP THIS REPORT. THIS IS NOT INTENDED TO BE SHARPLY CRITICAL OF MR. FARIA NOR HIS BULLETIN WHICH AS I SAID ABOVE IS A GOOD ONE. I THINK HE JUST STUBBED HIS TOE ONCE. IN GREY BARKER'S "THE SAUCERIAN BULLETIN", MAY 30TH, I NOTE AN AMUSING LEFT HOOK HE TAKES AT JAMES MOSELY, EDITOR OF "SAUCER NEWS". HAVING READ THEM BOTH TO SOME DEGREE I CANNOT HELP BUT CHOOSE MOSELY, WHO HAS HIS OWN OPINIONS AND EXPRESSES THEM. I RATHER SHARE HIS VIEWS OF BARKER WHO APPARENTLY DOESN'T YET KNOW WHAT HE BELIEVES. IT IS AMUSING TO WATCH THE BATTLE, INASMUCH AS THEY BOTH PUBLISH BULLETINS. A RIGHT CROSS IS DELIVERED BY BARKER UTILIZING WHAT TO ME IS THE LOWEST FORM OF EVIDENCE YET CONCOCTED, AN ANONYMOUS LETTER. BARKER CLAIMS NOT TO KNOW WHO WROTE IT BUT HE HINTS THAT MAYBE MOSELY HIMSELF WROTE IT. IF IT IS POSSIBLE THAT MOSELY DID, AND IT IS, THEN IT IS ALSO JUST AS POSSIBLE THAT BARKER MIGHT HAVE DONE IT. IT IS



TO BE NOTED THAT WHEN MOSELY CHOSE TO MENTION BARKER HE DID NOT STOOP TO ANONYMOUS LETTERS BUT USED SOME OF BARKER'S STATEMENTS AND NAILED HIM TO THE CROSS WITH HIS OWN HARDWARE. THIS IS LEGITIMATE ATTACK. BARKER ALSO DOESN'T LIKE MOSELY'S OPINION OF LAUGHEAD AND WILLIAMSON. LAUGHEAD, YOU'LL REMEMBER WAS A DOCTOR ON THE STAFF OF A MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY WHO WENT ALONG WITH HIS SISTER'S PREDICTION OF WORLD CAT-ASPROPHE AND GOT BOUNCED FOR HIS DREAMS. INCIDENTALLY, IN CASE YOU DIDN'T KNOW...WE'RE STILL HERE AND THE DATE FOR OUR DEMISE IS LONG PAST...AND ANOTHER PROPHET IS WITHOUT HONOR IN HIS OWN COUNTRY.

WILLIAMSON, IT APPEARS IS A METAPHYSICIAN OR SOMETHING AND THEY WENT TO PERU TO LOOK FOR INCA GOLD OR SOMETHING ELSE. IN ANY EVENT MOSELY HAULED OFF AND CLIPPED THEM IN THE CHOPPERS AND BARKER DOESN'T LIKE IT. WELL...NEED I SAY MORE RE THE BARKER-MOSELY FEUD?

AS FOR MOSELY BEING A PAID SHILL OF THE AIR FORCE AS BARKER SUGGESTS I LEAVE TO DIE AS UNWORTHY OF COMMENT.

\*\*\*\*\*

ONE GOOD BULLETIN HAS BITTEN THE DUST, STRINGFIELD'S "ORBIT" AND HIS REASONS I MENTION BECAUSE THEY POINT OUT SO VIVIDLY WHAT WE ARE STRIVING TO AVOID AND WHICH DRIVES SIGNOR FARIA'S BLOOD PRESSURE UP. "ORBIT'S" POLICIES, FORMAT ETC...SEEM TO BE OUT OF CHARACTER WITH BELIEFS AND PRINCIPLES OF SAUCERDOM'S GROWING COTERIE OF DEMOGOQUES, EXTREMISTS AND SENSATION HUNTERS. IT SEEMS...THAT TO PROSPER IN CIVILIAN RESEARCH, ONE MUST SHOW SYMPATHY WITH ALL THE ASTRONAUTS WHO FREQUENTLY SLIP OFF TO MARS OR PLUTO AND COME BACK WITH WONDEROUS TALES OF OMNISCIENT RACES WHO WANT TO HELP US POOR EARTHLINGS. THEN THERE ARE THOSE WHO PEDDLE ONLY THE SENSATIONAL "INSIDE STUFF" - OR A VITRIOLIC HATRED OF THE AIR FORCE...THERE IS MORE BUT THIS IS THE GIST OF IT. HE QUIT BECAUSE HE COULDN'T STOMACH THOSE WHO SEEM INTENT ON FOULING THE NEST.

\*\*\*\*\*

A NEWCOMER, AS FAR AS THIS OBSERVER IS CONCERNED, THAT MIGHT BE USED AS A MEASURING STICK FOR ANYONE WISHING TO START A UFO BULLETIN OR MAGAZINE, "OFFICIAL QUARTERLY JOURNAL" OF THE CIVILIAN SAUCER INVESTIGATION OF NEW ZEALAND. IT IS FAR AND AWAY THE BEST PERIODICAL I'VE SEEN YET ON THE SUBJECT. IT'S EDITORIALS ARE WELL WRITTEN AND NONE OF THEM I'VE SEEN APPEAR TO BE WRITTEN FOR SENSATIONAL COMMERCIALISM. ONE THING WE CAN BE THANKFUL TO THEM FOR...THEY LISTED AN OVERPOWERING GROUP OF PROFESSIONAL ASTRONOMERS AND OTHER SCIENTISTS WHO HAVE SEEN AND REPORTED UFO SIGHTINGS.

SOMEONE IS ALWAYS POPPING UP WITH THE ASSERTION THAT NOT ONCE IN ALL THE SIGHTINGS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE HAS A PROFESSIONAL SCIENTIST BEEN INVOLVED. HOW ANYONE WHO READS COULD HAVE MISSED THE ACCOUNTS OF SIGHTINGS BY DR. CLYDE TOMBAUGH I CANNOT SEE. ONE COULD SCARCELY ARGUE WITH HIS STATUS AS A PROFESSIONAL ASTRONOMER PARTICULARLY IN VIEW OF THE HIGHLY IMPORTANT WORK HE HAS BEEN DOING FOR THE GOVERNMENT SEARCHING THE IONOSPHERE FOR SATELLITES. "OQJ", NEW ZEALAND, QUOTED SOME AMATEUR ASTRONOMER AS ONE OF THOSE MAKING THE STATEMENT THAT NO PROFESSIONAL ASTRONOMER HAD EVER SEEN ANYTHING THAT COULD BE CALLED A UFO. THE "OQJ" GOES ON TO LIST SCIENTISTS WHO HAVE MADE AND REPORTED SIGHTINGS. IN ADDITION TO DR. TOMBAUGH THEY LIST HALL OF LOWELL OBSERVATORY, DR. SEYMOUR HESS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA; DR. DUNCAN FLETCHER, ASTRONOMICAL ASSOCIATION, KENYA AFRICA; DR. H. P. WILKINS, LATE OF THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY, GREAT BRITAIN. IF THESE SCIENTISTS OF UNQUESTIONED ABILITY HAVE MADE REPORTS WE HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO ASCRIBE TO THEM GREAT COURAGE. THESE MEN MADE REPORTS. THERE MUST BE TEN TIMES THEIR NUMBER WHO HAVE SEEN UFOs AND HAVE BEEN RELUCTANT TO DISCUSS THEM FOR FEAR OF CENSURE AND GIBES. IT IS CERTAIN THAT THEY CAN'T PROVE WHAT THEY SAW AND BY THE SAME TOKEN NEITHER CAN THEIR COLLEAGUES DISPROVE IT. SENATOR RUSSELL OF GEORGIA SAW SOMETHING IN EUROPE A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO AND AS YET NO ONE HAS BEEN ABLE TO PRY FROM HIM ANY DISCUSSION OF THE SIGHTINGS OTHER THAN TO STATE THAT HE HAD SUBMITTED HIS INFORMATION TO THE "AFFECTED AGENCIES", PRESUMABLY EITHER THE PENTAGON OR ATIC AT WRIGHT AFB, AND THEY ADVISED AGAINST ANY DISCLOSURES AT "THIS TIME". IF UFOLOGISTS OF GEORGIA WOULD TAKE THE TROUBLE THEY MIGHT, THROUGH A PROGRAM OF BELLIGERENT BULLYRAGGING SHAKE THE GOOD SENATOR LOOSE FROM THE POOP. NOTHING SO ENCOURAGES A SENATOR, ALREADY LEANING TOWARD THE TALKATIVE SIDE AS A TALENT NEEDED IN HIS

RACKET, LIFE THE SIGHT OF LETTERS COMING FROM HIS CONSTITUENCY. NOW SEN. RUSSELL EITHER SAW SOMETHING OF CONSIDERABLE IMPACT OR ELSE HE'S PLAYING COY FOR THE EFFECT. I COMPLIMENT HIM BY DOUBTING THE LATTER CONTINGENCY. THE AIR FORCE WHO HAS CONSISTENTLY STATED THAT THEY'RE TELLING ALL THEY KNOW, TO WIT, NOTHING, HAS BEEN SILENT ON THE RUSSELL SIGHTING...AND SUNK OUT OF THEIR OWN MOUTH. KNOWING KEYHOE'S TENACITY I'D SAY HE'S PROBABLY GIVING THEM A BAD TIME ABOUT NOW. THINGS LIKE RUSSELL'S REFUSAL TO TALK GIVES ME THE WILLIES. WHAT IS IT THOSE BIRDS DON'T WANT US TO KNOW?

\*\*\*\*\*

THOSE OF US WHO HAVE BEEN KEEPING UP WITH KEYHOE'S WORKS WILL PROBABLY REMEMBER THE STORY ABOUT THE SAUCER THAT CAME DOWN ON THE ICE PACK IN NEAR SPITZENBERGEN, NORWAY IN 1952. IT WAS HOOTED AT WAS USUAL, DEBUNKED AND WHATNOTTED. IN THE NEW ZEALAND MAGAZINE IS A NEWS REPORT WRITTEN BY A NORWEGIAN NEWS STAFF REPORTER ON THE SAME SAUCER. ACCORDING TO THE REPORT THERE SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN SOMETHING TO KEYHOE'S INFORMATION.

"OSLO, NORWAY, SEPT. 4TH, 1955. ONLY NOW A BOARD OF INQUIRY OF THE NORWEGIAN GENERAL STAFF IS PREPARING FOR PUBLICATION THE REPORT ON THE EXAMINATION OF THE REMAINS OF A UFO THAT CRASHED NEAR SPITZENBERGEN PRESUMABLY EARLY IN 1952. CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD, COLONEL GERNOD DARNBYL DURING AN INSTRUCTION LESSON FOR AIR FORCE OFFICERS STATED, 'THE CRASHING OF THE SPITZENBERGEN DISC WAS HIGHLY IMPORTANT. ALTHOUGH OUR PRESENT SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE DOES NOT YET ENABLE US TO SOLVE ALL RIDDLES, I'M CONFIDENT THAT THESE REMAINS FROM SPITZENBERGEN WILL PROVE TO BE OF THE UTMOST IMPORTANCE IN THIS RESPECT. SOME TIME AGO A MISUNDERSTANDING WAS CAUSED BY SAYING THAT THE DISC WAS OF SOVIET ORIGIN. IT HAS - THIS WE WISH TO STATE EMPHATICALLY - NOT BEEN BUILT IN ANY COUNTRY ON EARTH. THE MATERIALS USED IN ITS CONSTRUCTION ARE COMPLETELY UNKNOWN TO ALL EXPERTS HAVING PARTICIPATED IN THE INVESTIGATION AT ANY RATE ONLY TO BE OBTAINED AFTER PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROCESSES STILL UNKNOWN TO US. "...THE BOARD OF INQUIRY IS...NOT GOING TO PUBLISH AN EXTENSIVE REPORT UNTIL SOME SENSATIONAL FACTS ARE DISCUSSED WITH BRITISH AND U. S. EXPERTS. WE SHOULD HOWEVER REVEAL WHAT WE FOUND OUT AS MISPLACED SECRECY MIGHT WELL LEAD TO PANIC!"

THIS AS MOST OF US WILL REALIZE SEEMS TO BE THE FIRST THING OF A CONCRETE NATURE YET TO APPEAR READY FOR OUR THIRSTING CURIOSITIES. WILL WE GET THE NEWS OR WILL IT, TOO, BE MARKED CLASSIFIED.